Casse 2:23-app-0111477-SHK Docc 9-0. Filed 05/19//23 Einterred 05/19//23 18:18:18:027 Desc Mair-Socomens Frage

Attorney or Party Name, Address, Telephone & FAX Nos., State Bar No. & Email Address	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Michael Jay Berger 9454 Wilshire Blvd 6th Fl Beverly Hills, CA 90212–2929	
310–271–6223	
Plaintiff or Attorney for Plaintiff	
	ANKRUPTCY COURT ALIFORNIA – LOS ANGELES
In re:	
	CASE NO.: 2:23-bk-10990-SK
Leslie Klein	CHAPTER: 11
Debtor(s).	ADVERSARY NUMBER: 2:23-ap-01147-SK
Leslie Klein	
Plaintiff(s) Versus Joseph Vago	ANOTHER SUMMONS AND NOTICE OF STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING [LBR 7004-1]
(See Attachment A for names of additional defendants)	

TO THE DEFENDANT(S): A Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff against you. If you wish to defend against the Complaint, you must file with the court a written pleading in response to the Complaint. You must also serve a copy of your written response on the party shown in the upper left–hand corner of this page. The deadline to file and serve a written response is **06/16/2023.** If you do not timely file and serve the response, the court may enter a judgment by default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

A status conference in the adversary proceeding commenced by the Complaint has been set for:

Date: August 9, 2023
Time: 09:00 AM
Hearing Judge: Sandra R. Klein

Location: 255 E Temple St., Crtrm 1575, Los Angeles, CA 90012

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

You must comply with LBR 7016–1, which requires you to file a joint status report and to appear at a status conference. All parties must read and comply with the rule, even if you are representing yourself. You must cooperate with the other parties in the case and file a joint status report with the court and serve it on the appropriate parties at least 14 days before a status conference. A court–approved joint status report form is available on the court's website (LBR form F 7016–1.STATUS.REPORT) with an attachment for additional parties if necessary (LBR form F 7016–1.STATUS.REPORT.ATTACH). If the other parties do not cooperate in filing a joint status report, you still must file with the court a unilateral status report and the accompanying required declaration instead of a joint status report 7 days before the status conference. The court may fine you or impose other sanctions if you do not file a status report. The court may also fine you or impose other sanctions if you fail to appear at a status conference.

KATHLEEN J. CAMPBELL CLERK OF COURT

Date of Issuance of Alias Summons and Notice of Status Conference in Adversary Proceeding: May 17, 2023

By: "s/" Thais D. May

Deputy Clerk



Casse 2:23-app-011147-SK Doc 9.0. Filed 05/19//23 Emitterred 05/19//23 16:16:23 Desc Mair-Socumens Frage3306f478

ATTACHMENT ANames of plaintiffs and defendants

Plaintiff(s):	Defendant(s):
Leslie Klein	Joseph Vago Erica Vago

1	MICHAEL JAY BERGER (State Bar # 100	291)					
1	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL JAY BERGER 9454 Wilshire Boulevard, 6th Floor						
2	Beverly Hills, California 90212 T: 1.310.271.6223 F: 1.310.271.9805						
3	E: michael.berger@bankruptcypower.com						
4	Proposed Counsel for Debtor in Possession, Leslie Klein						
5							
6 7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT					
8							
9	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	LOS ANGEL	LES DIVISION					
11	In re	Case No. 2:23-bk-10990-SK					
12	Leslie Klein,	AP Case No.: 2:23-ap-01147-SK					
13	Debtor.	Chapter 11					
14		FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR:					
15	Leslie Klein, an individual,	(1) AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENCE [11 U.S.C. § 547];					
16	Plaintiff,	(2) RECOVERY OF AVOIDED TRANSFERS [11 U.S.C. § 550(a)];					
17	V.	AND					
18	Joseph Vago, and Erica Vago, individuals,	(3) AUTOMATIC PRESERVATION OF AVOIDED TRANSFERS [11					
19	Defendants.	U.S.C. § 551]					
20		,					
21	Leslie Klein, the Debtor and Debtor-	in-Possession in the above-referenced chapter					
22							
23		e "Debtor") respectfully alleges and avers in his					
24	First Amended Complaint to avoid, recover	and preserve avoided transfers, as follows:					
25	JURISDIC	TION AND VENUE					
26	1. This Court has jurisdiction over	er this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28					
27	January Januar	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
28	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR AVOIDA TRANSFERS, AND AUTOMATIC PR	1 NCE OF PREFERENCE, RECOVERY OF AVOIDED ESERVATION OF AVOIDED TRANSFERS					

8

10 11

12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

28

27

2. This adversary proceeding is commenced pursuant to Rule 70O1, et seq. of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and Sections 502, 547, 550, and 551 of 11

U.S.C. Section 101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code").

3. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1409(a) as this adversary proceeding arises under and in connection with a case under Title 11 which is pending in this District.

4. This is a core proceeding as defined by 28 U.S.C. Section 157(b)(2)(A), (B),(F), and (O).

PARTIES

- 5. On February 22, 2023 (the "Petition Date"), Leslie Klein commenced his bankruptcy case by filing voluntary petition for relief under Title 11, Chapter 11 of the United States Code [Case No.: 2:23-bk-10990-SK] (the "Bankruptcy Case").
- 6. Plaintiff brings this action in his capacity as the Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession in the Bankruptcy Case.
- 7. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein, an individual residing in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants

 Joseph and Erica Vago (the "Vagos" or "<u>Defendants</u>") are, and at all times mentioned herein, residents of the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- Plaintiff does not have personal knowledge of all the facts alleged in this
 Complaint and, therefore, alleges certain facts on information and belief. Plaintiff reserves

his right to amend this First Amended Complaint to allege additional claims against the Defendants and to challenge and recover transfers made to or for the benefit of the Defendants in addition to those transfers alleged in this First Amended Complaint.

- 10. On April 4, 2023, Defendants filed a Proof of Claim in Debtor's underlying Bankruptcy case asserting a prepetition claim in the sum of \$24,880,721.51 as a secured claim by virtue of a Judgment on Special Verdict entered on December 2, 2022.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges thereon, that
 Defendants recorded the following Abstracts of Judgments and Notices of Judgment Liens
 within 90 days prior to the Petition Date, as follows:
 - a. On December 16, 2022, the Defendants recorded an Abstract of Judgment in the Los Angeles County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 20221178779).
 - b. On January 12, 2023 Defendants recorded an Amended Abstract of Judgment in the Los Angeles County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 20230026369).
 - c. On December 16, 2022, the Defendants recorded an Abstract of Judgment in the Orange County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 2022000409986).
 - d. On January 12, 2023 Defendants recorded a Notice of Judgment Lien in the
 Orange County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 2023000009373).
 - e. On December 16, 2022, the Defendants recorded an Abstract of Judgment in the Riverside County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 20220504784).
 - f. On January 12, 2023, the Defendants recorded an Abstract of Judgment in the San Bernardino County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 2023-

0009468).

- g. On January 12, 2023, the Defendants recorded an Abstract of Judgment in the San Diego County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 2023-0009943).
- h. On December 16, 2022, the Defendants recorded an Abstract of Judgment in the Ventura County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 202200016414).
- i. On January 12, 2023, the Defendants recorded an Amended Abstract of Judgment in the Ventura County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 2023000002104).
- j. On January 12, 2023, the Defendants recorded a Notice of Judgment Lien in the State of California, with the Office of the Secretary of State in Sacramento (Recording No.: U230002837926).

(collectively referred to as the "Transfers").

12. Defendants were, at all times material hereto, creditors of the Debtor during the period commencing ninety (90) days prior to the Petition Date and concluding on the Petition Date and for whose benefit certain of the recoverable transfers alleged in this First Amended Complaint were made and/or an immediate or mediate transferee of such recoverable Transfers.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

13. As set forth in **Exhibit-A**, which is attached hereto and specifically incorporated herein by reference, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges thereon, that Defendants recorded the following Abstracts of Judgments and Notices of Judgment Liens within 90 days prior to the Petition Date, as follows:

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENCE, RECOVERY OF AVOIDED TRANSFERS, AND AUTOMATIC PRESERVATION OF AVOIDED TRANSFERS

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- a. On December 16, 2022, the Defendants recorded an Abstract of Judgment in the Los Angeles County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 20221178779).
- b. On January 12, 2023 Defendants recorded an Amended Abstract of Judgment in the Los Angeles County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 20230026369).
- c. On December 16, 2022, the Defendants recorded an Abstract of Judgment in the Orange County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 2022000409986).
- d. On January 12, 2023 Defendants recorded a Notice of Judgment Lien in the Orange County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 2023000009373).
- e. On December 16, 2022, the Defendants recorded an Abstract of Judgment in the Riverside County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 20220504784).
- f. On January 12, 2023, the Defendants recorded an Abstract of Judgment in the San Bernardino County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 2023-0009468).
- g. On January 12, 2023, the Defendants recorded an Abstract of Judgment in the San Diego County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 2023-0009943).
- h. On December 16, 2022, the Defendants recorded an Abstract of Judgment in the Ventura County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 202200016414).
- On January 12, 2023, the Defendants recorded an Amended Abstract of Judgment in the Ventura County Recorder's Office (Recording No.: 202300002104).
- j. On January 12, 2023, the Defendants recorded a Notice of Judgment Lien in

the State of California, with the Office of the Secretary of State in Sacramento (Recording No.: U230002837926).

(collectively referred to as the "Transfers").

- 14. All of the above listed Abstracts of Judgment and Notices of Judgment Liens (the "Transfers") were recorded and filed to perfect a security interest within the ninety-day preference period created by 11 U.S.C. § 547. Defendants' action of recording the Abstracts of Judgment and Notices of Judgment Lien constitute transfers of property to or for the benefit of the Defendants within the ninety (90) days prior to the Petition Date.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges thereon, that prior to receiving the Transfers, the Plaintiff-Debtor was indebted to the Defendants. After such debt was created, the Defendants made the Transfers on account of those obligations. As such, the Transfers were payment on account of antecedent debt owed by the Plaintiff-Debtor to the Defendants.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

[FOR AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFER – 11 U.S.C. §547(b)]

- 16. Plaintiff re-alleged and incorporates by this reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges thereon, that the Transfers were of a property interest of the Plaintiff-Debtor.
- 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges thereon, that the Transfers were made to or for the benefit of Defendants at a time in which Defendants were creditors of the Plaintiff-Debtor, as the term "creditor" is defined by 11 U.S.C.

2

- 5
- 8

7

10

11 12

13

1415

16 17

18

19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

19. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges thereon, that the Transfers were for or on account of an antecedent debt owed by the Plaintiff-Debtor to Defendants before such Transfers were made.

- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges thereon, that the Transfers were made while the Plaintiff-Debtor was insolvent.
- 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges thereon, that the Transfers enabled Defendants to receive more than Defendants would otherwise have received if (a) Plaintiff-Debtor's bankruptcy case was a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; (b) the Transfers had not been made; and (c) Defendants received payment of such debt to the extend provided by the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code.
 - 22. The Transfers may be avoided pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(b).
- 23. Interest on the Transfers has accrued and continues to accrue from the date the Transfers were made.
- 24. Plaintiff is entitled to an order and judgment under 11 U.S.C. §547(b) that the Transfers are avoided pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(b).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

[FOR RECOVERY OF AVOIDED TRANSFER - 11 U.S.C. § 550]

- 25. Plaintiff re-alleged and incorporates by this reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 24, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based upon such information and belief, alleges that Defendants were the initial transferees of the Transfers, or the entity for

whose benefit the Transfers were made, or are the immediate or mediate transferees of the initial transferee receiving such Transfers, or any of them.

- 27. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §550, upon avoidance of the Transfers under the First Claim for Relief alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to avoid the Transfers under 11 U.S.C. §547(b) and to recover the value of the property transferred under the Transfers and/or the amount of the Transfers, together with interest thereon at the maximum legal rate from the date of the Transfers, as set forth above.
- 28. Plaintiff is entitled to an order and judgment under 11 U.S.C. §550 that the Transfers are avoided and recovered for the benefit of the Plaintiff-Debtor's bankruptcy estate.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

[FOR PRESERVATION OF AVOIDED TRANSFER – 11 U.S.C. §551]

- 29. Plaintiff re-alleged and incorporates by this reference each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 28, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §551, Plaintiff is entitled to preserve any of the Transfers avoided under the First Claim for Relief alleged herein for the benefit of the Plaintiff-Debtor's bankruptcy estate pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §551.
- 31. Plaintiff is entitled to an order and judgment under 11 U.S.C. §551 that the Transfers are preserved for the benefit of the Plaintiff-Debtor's bankruptcy estate.

25 ///

27 ///

PRAYER FOR RELIEF 1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for a judgment on this First Amended Complaint, as 2 3 it may be amended from time to time, as follows: 4 For avoidance of the Transfers under 11 U.S.C. §547(b); 1. 5 2. For recovery from Defendants by Plaintiff of the value of the property 6 transferred under the Transfers and/or the amount of the Transfers under 11 U.S.C. §550; 7 8 3. For preservation of avoided Transfers for the Plaintiff-Debtor's bankruptcy 9 estate; 10 Attorney's fees, costs and expenses, to the extent recoverable under 11 applicable law and the evidence submitted to the Bankruptcy Court; and 12 13 For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 5. 14 15 LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL JAY BERGER Dated: May 12, 2023 16 17 18 19 Proposed Attorney for Plaintiff 20 Leslie Klein 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

EXHIBIT "A"

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENCE, RECOVERY OF AVOIDED TRANSFERS, AND AUTOMATIC PRESERVATION OF AVOIDED TRANSFERS

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 14 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 1 of

Fil	ll in this information to identify the case:
De	blor 1 LESLIE KLEIN
	obtor 2ouse, if filing)
Ur	nited States Bankruptcy Court for the: Central District of California
Ca	ase number 2:23-10990-SK

Official Form 410

Proof of Claim

04/19

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Do not use this form to make a request for payment of an administrative expense. Make such a request according to 11 U.S.C. § 503.

Filers must leave out or redact information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. Do not send original documents; they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed. That date is on the notice of bankruptcy (Form 309) that you received.

١.	Who is the current creditor?	ERICA VAGO and Name of the current creditor Other names the creditor u	or (the person or e	entity to be paid for this cl				
	Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	No Yes. From whom?			2011-0011-0011-0-1-			
	Where should notices and payments to the	Where should notices	Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)					
	creditor be sent?	Brian A. Procel / P	rocel Law. P	rC	Brian A. Pr	rocel / Pro	cel Law, PC	
	Federal Rule of	Name			Name			
	Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)	401 Wilshire Blvd, 12th Floor			401 Wilshire Blvd, 12th Floor			
	(110.) 2002(9)	Number Street				Street	-	
		Santa Monica	CA	90401	Santa Mor	nica	CA	90401
		City	State	ZIP Code	City		State	ZIP Cod
		Contact phone 424-788-4538			Contact phone 424-788-4538			
		Contact email brian@		om_	Contact email	brian@pro	ocel-law.com	_
		Uniform claim identifier for	electronic payme	nts in chapter 13 (if you t	use one):	- -		
	Does this claim amend one already filed?	✓ No ☐ Yes Claim numbe	r on court claim	s registry (if known)		Fil	ed on) / YYYY
	Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	No Yes. Who made the	e earlier filing?					

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 15 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 2 of

3.	Do you have any number you use to identify the debtor?	✓ No ☐ Yes. Last 4 digits of the debtor's account or any number you use to identify the debtor:					
7.	How much is the claim?	\$ 24,880,721.51. Does this amount include interest or other charges?					
		Yes. Attach statement itemizing interest, fees, expenses, or other charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).					
3.	What is the basis of the claim?	Examples: Goods sold, money loaned, lease, services performed, personal injury or wrongful death, or credit card. Attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c).					
		Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information. State Court Judgment on Special Verdict					
).	is all or part of the claim secured?	□ No ☑ Yes. The claim is secured by a lien on property.					
		Nature of property:					
		Real estate. If the claim is secured by the debtor's principal residence, file a Mortgage Proof of Claim Attachment (Official Form 410-A) with this Proof of Claim. Motor vehicle Other. Describe:					
		Basis for perfection: Exhibit 1 Judgment; Exhibit 2 Abstracts, etc. Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (for example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the lien has been filed or recorded.)					
		Value of property: \$					
		Amount of the claim that is secured: \$					
		Amount of the claim that is unsecured: \$(The sum of the secured and unsecured amounts should match the amount in line 7.)					
		Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:					
		Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed) 10.00 % ☑ Fixed □ Variable					
10	is this claim based on a	☑ No					
	lease?	Yes. Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition.					
11	Is this claim subject to a	☑ No					
	right of setoff?	☐ Yes. Identify the property:					

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 16 of 78 Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 3 of

Page 3 of 61

12 is all or part of the claim entitled to priority under	Ø No						
11 U.S.C. § 507(a)?	Yes. Check	cell that apply:			•	Amount entitled to priority	
A claim may be partly priority and partly		tic support obligations (including C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B).	alimony and child a	upport) under			
nonpriority. For example, in some categories, the law ilmits the amount entitled to priority.		3,025° of deposits toward purch al, family, or household use. 11		of property or	services for \$		
Ground to priority.	bankru	, salaries, or commissions (up to picy petition is filed or the debto C. § 507(a)(4).	o \$13,650°) eemed w c's business ends, w	rithin 180 day: hichever is ea	s before the riler. \$		
	_	or penalties owed to governmen	ital units. 11 U.S.C. §	507(a)(8).	\$.		
	☐ Contrib	utions to an employee benefit p	lan. 11 U.S.C. § 507	(a)(5)	\$.		
	Other.	Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C.	§ 507(a)() that ap	plies.	\$.		
	* Amounts	em subject to adjustment on 4/01/23	2 and every 3 years afte	r that for ceses	begun on or after th	a data of adjustment.	
Part 3: Sign Below							
The person completing	Check the appn	opriate box:					
this proof of claim must sion and data it.	☐ I am the cr	editor.					
FRBP 9011(b).	_	editor's attorney or authorized &	aent.				
If you file this claim		istee, or the debtor, or their auti	-	iptcy Rule 300	04.		
electronically, FRBP	am a guarantor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005.						
5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules							
specifying what a signature is:	I understand that an authorized signature on this Proof of Cleim serves as an acknowledgment that when calculating the amount of the claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received toward the debt.						
A person who flies s							
fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000,	I have examined the information in this <i>Proof of Claim</i> and have a reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.						
imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both.	and a second sec						
16 U.S.C. 55 152, 157, and	deciars under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.						
3571.	Executed on da	102 (02)					
		WW / 00 / YMY					
	2	~					
	Signature)					
	Print the name	of the person who is complet	ing and signing this	s claim:			
		Brian A. Procel					
	Name	First name	Middle name		Last name		
	Title	Counsel for Claimant					
	Сопрепу	Procel Law, PC					
	CC., C	Identify the corporate servicer as	the company if the auti	norized agent is	a servicer.		
	Address	401 Wilshire Blvd, 12th	Floor				
		Number Street		0.4	00404		
		Santa Monica		CA	90401		
		City		State	ZIP Code		
	Contect phone	424-788-4538		Emel bria	n@procel-law	com	

Official Form 410

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 17 of 78 Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 4 of

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 18 of 78 Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 61

INTEREST CALCULATION ATTACHMENT TO PROOF OF CLAIM

Amount of Judgment:

\$24,334,038.99 (see pp. 22 and 27 of

Exhibit 1)

Days between entry of judgment and Petition Date:

82

Post-judgment rate of interest:

10%

Post-judgment interest as of Petition Date:

\$546,682.52

Total Amount of Claim as of Petition Date:

\$24,880,721.51

Page 2 of 25

Electronically Received 11/15/2022 10:32 AM

Case 2:2	Main Document Page 20 of 78
Case 2	:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 61
1	This action came on regularly for trial on August 29, 2022, in Department 14 of the
2	Superior Court, the Honorable Terry A. Green, Judge Presiding;
3	Plaintiffs Erica and Joseph Vago (collectively, "Plaintiffs") appearing by attorney Brian
4	Procel, Esq.; and Defendants Leslie Klein and Les Klein & Associates, Inc. (collectively,
5	"Defendants") appearing by attorney Jeffrey Slott.
6	A jury of twelve (12) persons was regularly impaneled and sworn and agreed to try the
7	cause. Witnesses were sworn and testified. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel,
8	the jury was duly instructed by the Court and the cause was submitted to the jury with directions
9	to return a special verdict. The jury deliberated and thereafter returned to court with its special
10	verdict submitted to the jury and the answers given thereto by the jury, which verdict was in words
11	and figures as follows, to wit:
12	
13	INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS
14	(BY ERICA VAGO AGAINST DEFENDNTS)
15	
16	1. Was Mr. Klein's conduct outrageous?
17	X Yes No
18	If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no,
19	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
20	this form.
21	2. Did Mr. Klein intend to cause Erica Vago emotional distress?
22	YesXNo
23	If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, go
24	to question 3.
25	3. Did Mr. Klein act with reckless disregard of the probability that Erica Vago would
26	suffer emotional distress, knowing that Erica Vago was present when the conduct
27	occurred?
28	XYesNo

EXPERIOSED] JUDGMENT 94

Page 3 of 25

Case	:23-bk-10990-SK	Claim 11	Filed 04/04/23 61	Desc Main Document	Page 8 of
			01		
				d 4 15	
1	47			en answer question 4. If you	
2			o further questions	, and have the presiding juro	r sign and date
3	this fo				
4	4. Did E	rica Vago su	ffer severe emotion	al distress?	
5		_YesX			_
6	 			en answer question 5. If you	
7	stop l	iere, answer r	no further questions	, and have the presiding jurg	r sign and date
8	this fe				
9	5. Was l	Mr. Klein's co	onduct a substantial	factor in causing Erica Vag	o's severe
10	emoti	onal distress?	•		
11			No		
12	If you	ir answer to q	uestion 5 is yes, the	en answer question 6. If you	answered no,
13	stop l	ere, answer r	no further questions	, and have the presiding jurc	r sign and date
14	this fe	orm.			
15	6. What	are Erica Va	go's damages for pa	ain and suffering?	
16	\$_1	I/A			
17					
18				TOTA	L\$
19				N/A	
20					
21		Signature			
22	Pre	siding Juror			
23	Dated: Septe	ember 15, 202	22		
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
			3		
			-transcrapt IUI	OGMENT	Page 4 of 25
ı	I				

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 21 of 78 Case 7:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 9 of

INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION ERICA VAGO AGAINST DEFENDNTS)

$ ^2 $	(BY ERICA VAGO AGAINST DEFENDING)
3	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
4	1. Did Mr. Klein make a false representation of a fact to Erica Vago?
5	
6	_XYesNo
7	If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no,
8	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
9	this form.
10	2. Did Mr. Klein know that the representation was false, or did he make the
11	representation recklessly and without regard for its truth?
12	_X Yes No
13	If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no,
14	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
15	this form.
16	3. Did Erica Vago reasonably rely on the representation?
17	XYesNo
18	If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no,
19	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
20	this form.
21	4. Was Erica Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's representation a substantial factor in
22	causing harm to Erica Vago?
23	XYesNo
	If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no,
24	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
25	this form.
26	5. What are Erica Vago's economic damages?
27	\$ 8,300,000
28	▼ <u></u>
	4 FIRE CONTROL PAGE 5 of 25
	ERBORASTED JUDGMENT Page 5 of 25

	23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 23 of 78
Case 2	23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 61 Please answer question 6. 6. What are Erica Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? \$_0
4 5 6 7 8	Signed: /Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022
10 11 12 13	CONCEALMENT (BY ERICA VAGO AGAINST DEFENDNTS) We answer the questions submitted to us as follows: 1. Did Mr. Klein intentionally fail to disclose a fact that Erica Vago did not know and
14 15 16 17 18 19	could not reasonably have discovered? _XYesNo If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
20 21 22 23 24	2. Did Mr. Klein intend to deceive Erica Vago by concealing the fact?
25 26 27 28	3. Had the omitted information been disclosed, would Erica Vago reasonably have behaved differently? X Yes No
	IPROPOSED JUDGMENT Page 6 of 25

Case 2:2	3-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 24 of 78
Case 2	23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 11 of
	61
1	If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no,
2	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
3	this form.
4	4. Was Mr. Klein's concealment a substantial factor in causing harm to Erica Vago?
5	XYesNo
6	If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no,
7	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
8	this form.
9	5. What are Erica Vago's economic damages?
10	<u>\$ 8,300,000</u>
11	Please answer question 6.
12	6. What are Erica Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering?
13	\$_0
14	TOTAL <u>\$ 8,300,000</u>
15	101AL <u>a 6,500,000</u>
16	
17	Signed: /Signature Presiding Juror
18	Dated: September 15, 2022
19 20	Butou, September 15, 2-2-2
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	6
	EXHIBIT Page 7 of 25
	II.

FALSE PROMISE

2		(BY ERICA VAGO AGAINST DEFENDNTS)	
3			
4		swer the questions submitted to us as follows:	
5	1.	Did Mr. Klein make a promise to Erica Vago?	
6		_XYesNo	
7		If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2.	If you answered no,
8		stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presidi	ng juror sign and date
9		this form.	
10	2.	Did Mr. Klein intend to perform this promise when he mad	e it?
11		X Yes No	
12		If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3.	If you answered no,
13		stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presidi	ng juror sign and date
14		this form.	
15	3.	Did Mr. Klein intend that Erica Vago rely on this promise?	
16		Yes No	
17		If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4.	If you answered no,
18		stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presidi	ng juror sign and date
19		this form.	
20	4.	Did Erica Vago reasonably rely on this promise?	
21		Yes No	
22		If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5.	If you answered no,
23		stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presidi	ng juror sign and date
24		this form.	
25			
26	5.	Did Mr. Klein fail to perform the promised act?	
27		Yes No	
28			
-		_	
		[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT	Page 8 of 25
ļ		EXHYBIT "1"	raye o UI 20

Case 2	23-bk-10990-SK	Claim 11	Filed 04/04/23 61	Desc Main Document	Page 13 of
			01		
1	If you	r answer to	question 5 is yes, th	en answer question 6. If you	answered no,
2	stop li	ere, answer	no further question	s, and have the presiding jur	or sign and date
3	this fo	orm.			
4	6. Was I	Erica Vago's	s reliance on Mr. K	ein's promise a substantial f	actor in causing
5	harm	to Erica Va	go?		
6		Yes _	No		
7	If you	ır answer to	question 6 is yes, the	nen answer question 7. If you	answered no,
8	stop l	nere, answer	no further question	s, and have the presiding jut	or sign and date
9	this fo	orm.			
10	7. What	are Erica V	ago's economic da	nages?	
11	\$_N/	/A			
12	l I	e answer qu			
13	8. What	are Erica V	'ago's noneconomic	damages for pain and suffe	ring?
14	\$_1	N/A			
15					
16				TOT	AL \$ _N/A
17					
18		Signature siding Juror			
19		_			
20	Dated: Sept	ember 15, 2	022		
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27	· [[
28					
			E <u>(5500962ED)</u>	TUDGMENT	Page 9 of 25
			100	, Constituent a	raye 9 01 20

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 26 of 78

Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 14 of Case 2 23-bk-10990-SK 61

1	FINANCIAL ABUSE
2	(BY ERICA VAGO AGAINST DEFENDANTS)
3	
4	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
5	1. Did Mr. Klein retain Erica Vago's money or property?
6	XYesNo
7	If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered πο,
8	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
9	this form.
10	2. Were Erica Vago 65 years of age or older at the time of the conduct?
11	_XYesNo
12	If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no,
13	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
14	this form.
15	3. Did Mr. Klein retain the money or property for a wrongful use or with the intent to
16	defraud?
17	XYesNo
18	If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no,
19	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
20	this form.
21	4. Were Erica Vago harmed?
22	X Yes No
23	If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no,
24	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
25	this form.
26	5. Was Mr. Klein's conduct a substantial factor in causing Erica Vago's harm?
27	X Yes No
28	

Case 2	23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 15 of
1	If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no,
2	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
3	this form.
4	6. What are Erica Vago's economic damages?
5	\$ 8,300,000
6	TOTAL \$8,300,000
7	
8	Signed: /Signature
9	Presiding Juror
10	Dated: September 15, 2022
11	THE PART OF S
12	PUNITIVE DAMAGES (BY ERICA VAGO AGAINST DEFENDNTS)
13	
14	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
15	1. Did Mr. Klein engage in the conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?
16	XYesNo
17	
18	Signed: /Signature Presiding Juror
19	Fiesiding Justice
20	Dated: September 15, 2022
21	PUNITIVE DAMAGES AGAINST EMPLOYER OR PRINCIPAL FOR CONDUCT
22	OF A SPECIFIC AGENT OR EMPLOYEE (BY ERICA VAGO AGAINST DEFENDITS)
23	(B) Edden viido itamio i a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
24	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
25	1. Did Mr. Klein engage in the conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?
26	XYesNo
27	*
28	
	10
	EXPANSITS Page 11 of 25 102

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 28 of 78

Case 2	23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 16 of
i	61
ı	If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no,
2	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
3	this form.
4	
5	Signed: /Signature
6	Presiding Juror
7	Dated: September 15, 2022
8	BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY
9	(BY ERICA VAGO AGAINST DEFENDNTS)
10	
11	1. Mr. Klein owed Erica Vago fiduciary duties to act with the utmost loyalty and honesty.
12	2. Did Mr. Klein breach his fiduciary duties?
13	XYesNo
14	If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, stop
15	here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
16	3. Was Erica Vago harmed?
17	X Yes No
18	If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, stop
19	here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
20	4. Was Mr. Klein's conduct a substantial factor in causing Erica Vago's harm?
21	XYesNo
22	If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, stop
23	here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
24	5. What are Erica Vago's economic damages?
25	<u>\$ 8,300.000</u>
26	
27	TOTAL <u>\$ 8,300,000</u>
28	
	11
	PROPOSED] JUDGMENT EXHIBIT "1" Page 12 of 25

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 29 of 78

Case 2	23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 17 of 61
1	
2	Signed: /Signature Presiding Juror
3	
4	Dated: September 15, 2022
5	INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS
6	(BY JOSEPH VAGO AGAINST DEFENDNTS)
7	
8	1. Was Mr. Klein's conduct outrageous?
9	a. X Yes No
10	b. If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered
11	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
12	and date this form.
13	2. Did Mr. Klein intend to cause Joseph Vago emotional distress?
14	aYesXNo
15	b. If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered
16	по, go to question 3.
17	3. Did Mr. Klein act with reckless disregard of the probability that Joseph Vago
18	would suffer emotional distress, knowing that Joseph Vago was present when the
19	conduct occurred?
20	aXYesNo
21	b. If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered
22	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
23	and date this form.
24	4. Did Joseph Vago suffer severe emotional distress?
25	aX Yes No
26	b. If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered
27	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
28	and date this form.
	12 EXABITISTICAL Page 13 of 25
	E次內(日本) JUDGMENT Page 13 of 25 104

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 30 of 78

Case 2:2	Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 31 of 78		
Case 223-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 18 of			
:	61		
1	5. Was Mr. Klein's conduct a substantial factor in causing Joseph Vago's severe		
2	emotional distress?		
3	a. XYesNo		
4	b. If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered		
5	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign		
6	and date this form.		
7	6. What are Joseph Vago's damages for pain and suffering?		
8	a. \$ 400,000		
9	mom. v. d. 400 000		
10	TOTAL <u>\$400,000</u>		
11			
12	Signed: /Signature Presiding Juror		
13	Data J. Cantonahou 15, 2022		
14	Dated: September 15, 2022		
15	INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION		
16	(BY JOSEPH VAGO AGAINST DEFENDNTS)		
17	(BI JOSEFII VAGO AGALIGI DEFERDITIS)		
18 19	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:		
20	1. Did Mr. Klein make a false representation of a fact to Joseph Vago?		
21	a. X_YesNo		
22	b. If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered		
23	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign		
24	and date this form.		
25	2. Did Mr. Klein know that the representation was false, or did he make the		
26	representation recklessly and without regard for its truth?		
27	a. X Yes No		
28			
	13		
	PROPOSED JUDGMENT EXHIBIT "1" Page 14 of 25		

Case 2:2	23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 32 of 78
Case 2	23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 19 of
·	61
1	b. If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered
2	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
3	and date this form.
4	3. Did Joseph Vago reasonably rely on the representation?
5	a. X Yes No
6	b. If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered
7	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
8	and date this form.
9	4. Was Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's representation a substantial factor in
10	causing harm to Joseph Vago?
11	aXYesNo
12	b. If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered
13	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
14	and date this form.
15	5. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages?
16	a. \$_0
17	b. Please answer question 6.
18	6. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering?
19	a. \$_0
20	TOTAL C. O
21	TOTAL \$0
22	
23	Signed: /Signature Presiding Juror
24	D 1 0 1 15 2022
25	
26	CONCEALMENT
27	
28	
	14 EXPLOYOS FOLJUDGMENT Page 15 of 25
	106

Case 2	:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc
Coco d	Main Document Page 33 of 78 23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 20 of
Case 4	61
:	
1	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
2	1. Did Mr. Klein intentionally fail to disclose a fact that Joseph Vago did not know
3	and could not reasonably have discovered?
4	aXNo
5	b. If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered
6	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
7	and date this form.
8	2. Did Mr. Klein intend to deceive Joseph Vago by concealing the fact?
9	aXYesNo
10	b. If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered
11	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
12	and date this form.
13	3. Had the omitted information been disclosed, would Joseph Vago reasonably have
14	behaved differently?
15	aX Yes No
16	b. If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered
17	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
18	and date this form.
19	4. Was Mr. Klein's concealment a substantial factor in causing harm to Joseph Vago?
20	aX Yes No
21	b. If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered
22	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
23	and date this form.
24	5. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages?
25	a. \$0
26	b. Please answer question 6.
27	6. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering?
28	
	15
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT Page 16 of 25

	23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 34 of 78 23-bk-10900-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 21 of
Case 2	23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 21 of 61
:	
1	
2	TOTAL \$0
3	
4	Signed: /Signature Presiding Juror
5	
6	Dated: September 15, 2022
7	FALSE PROMISE
8	(BY JOSEPH VAGO AGAINST DEFENDNTS)
9	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
10	1. Did Mr. Klein make a promise to Joseph Vago?
11	a. X Yes No
12	b. If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered
13	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
14 15	and date this form.
16	2. Did Mr. Klein intend to perform this promise when he made it?
17	aX Yes No
18	b. If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered
19	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
20	and date this form.
21	3. Did Mr. Klein intend that Joseph Vago rely on this promise?
22	a. Yes No
23	b. If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered
24	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
25	and date this form.
26	4. Did Joseph Vago reasonably rely on this promise?
27	aNo
28	
	16 FINE (APPRISED) JUDGMENT Page 17 of 25

b. If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 5. Did Mr. Klein fail to perform the promised act? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 6. Was Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to Joseph Vago's aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Signed:/Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	Case 2	23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 22 of 61
no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 5. Did Mr. Klein fail to perform the promised act? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 6. Was Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to Joseph Vago? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022		
no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 5. Did Mr. Klein fail to perform the promised act? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 6. Was Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to Joseph Vago? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022		C C IC
and date this form. 5. Did Mr. Klein fail to perform the promised act? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 6. Was Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to Joseph Vago? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A 21 22 33 24 Signed: _/Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	1	
5. Did Mr. Klein fail to perform the promised act? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 6. Was Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to Joseph Vago? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Signed: _/Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	2	
5. Did Mr. Klein fail to perform the promised act? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 6. Was Joseph Vago? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Dated: September 15, 2022	3	and date this form.
aYesNo b. If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 6. Was Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to Joseph Vago? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Dated: September 15, 2022	4	
b. If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 6. Was Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to Joseph Vago? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Dated: September 15, 2022	5	
no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 6. Was Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to Joseph Vago? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A 21 22 23 24 Signed: _/Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	6	
and date this form. 6. Was Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to Joseph Vago? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Dated: September 15, 2022	7	
6. Was Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's promise a substantial factor in causing harm to Joseph Vago? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Signed:/Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	8	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
harm to Joseph Vago? aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Signed:/Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	9	
aYesNo b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Signed: _/Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	10	6. Was Joseph Vago's reliance on Mr. Klein's promise a substantial factor in causing
b. If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Signed: _/Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	11	harm to Joseph Vago?
no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Signed: _/Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	12	
and date this form. 7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Signed: /Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	13	
7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages? a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Signed: _/Signature _	14	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
a. \$_N/A b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? TOTAL \$_N/A Signed:/Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	15	and date this form.
b. Please answer question 8. 8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Signed:/Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	16	7. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages?
8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering? a. \$_N/A TOTAL \$_N/A Signed: /Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022	17	a. \$_N/A
20 a. \$_N/A 21 22	18	b. Please answer question 8.
21 22 23 24	19	8. What are Joseph Vago's noneconomic damages for pain and suffering?
22 TOTAL \$ _N/A 23 24 Signed:/Signature Presiding Juror 25 Dated: September 15, 2022 27 28 17 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT Page 49, 405	20	a. \$N/A
Signed: /Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022 27 28 17 [PROPOSED JUDGMENT]	21	
Signed: /Signature Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022 27 28 17 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT Date 10, 405	22	TOTAL \$ _N/A
Presiding Juror Dated: September 15, 2022 27 28 17 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT Date 10, 40, 40, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 5	23	₩.
Dated: September 15, 2022 27 28 17 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT Date 10, 2025	24	
27 28 17 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT Dec. 40.405	25	Presiding Juror
17 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT Data 40 of 05	26	Dated: September 15, 2022
PROPOSED JUDGMENT	27	
[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT	28	
[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT		
EXMOST "1" Page 18 of 25		PROPOSED JUDGMENT EXILITY 1" Page 18 of 25

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 35 of 78

Case 2:2	23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 36 of 78
Case 2	23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 23 of
	61
1	FINANCIAL ABUSE
2	(BY JOSEPH VAGO AGAINST DEFENDANTS)
3	
4	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
5	1. Did Mr. Klein retain Joseph Vago's money or property?
6	a YesXNo
7	b. If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered
8	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
9	and date this form.
10	2. Were Joseph Vago 65 years of age or older at the time of the conduct?
11	a. Yes No
12	b. If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered
13	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
14	and date this form.
15	3. Did Mr. Klein retain the money or property for a wrongful use or with the intent to
16	defraud?
17	a. Yes No
18	b. If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered
19	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
20	and date this form.
21	4. Were Joseph Vago harmed?
22	aYesNo
23	b. If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered
24	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
25	and date this form.
26	5. Was Mr. Klein's conduct a substantial factor in causing Joseph Vago's harm?
27	a Yes No
ሳር	1

Case 2:2	3-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 37 of 78
Case 2	23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 24 of 61
	-
1	
1	b. If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered
2	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
3	and date this form.
4	6. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages?
5	a. \$_N/A
6	TOTAL \$N/A
7	
8	Signed: /Signature Presiding Juror
9	Dated: September 15, 2022
10	·
11	PUNITIVE DAMAGES (DV 10SEDIL VACO ACAINST DEFENDATS)
12	(BY JOSEPH VAGO AGAINST DEFENDNTS)
14	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
15	1. Did Mr. Klein engage in the conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?
16	a. X Yes No
17	
18	Signed: /Signature
19	Presiding Juror
20	Dated: September 15, 2022
21	PUNITIVE DAMAGES AGAINST EMPLOYER OR PRINCIPAL FOR CONDUCT
22	OF A SPECIFIC AGENT OR EMPLOYEE
23	(BY JOSEPH VAGO AGAINST DEFENDNTS)
24	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
25	1. Did Mr. Klein engage in the conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?
26	a. X Yes No
27	
28	
	19
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT Page 20 of 25

Case 2	:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 25 of 61
:	
ı	b. If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered
2	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign
3	and date this form.
4	
5	Signed: /Signature
6	Presiding Juror
7	Dated: September 15, 2022
8	BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY
9	(BY JOSEPH VAGO AGAINST DEFENDNTS)
10	
11	Mr. Klein owed Joseph Vago fiduciary duties to act with the utmost loyalty and
12	honesty.
13	2. Did Mr. Klein breach his fiduciary duties?
14	aXYesNo
15	b. If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no,
16	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and
17	date this form.
18	3. Was Joseph Vago harmed?
19	aX YesNo
20	b. If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no,
21	stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and
22	date this form.
23	4. Was Mr. Klein's conduct a substantial factor in causing Joseph Vago's harm?
24	a. X Yes No
25	ll .
26	
27	
28	5. What are Joseph Vago's economic damages?
	20 EXBOSPSED JUDGMENT Page 21 of 25
	112

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 38 of 78

Case 2:2	23-ap-01147-SK	Doc 10 Main Doc	Filed 05/19/23 ument Page	Entered 05/19/23 16:10 39 of 78	6:03 Desc
Case 2	23-bk-10990 - SK	Claim 11	Filed 04/04/23 61	Desc Main Document	Page 26 of
			QI		
ł	a. \$	0	-		
2				· ~~~	6 A
3				1. 101AL	\$0
4					
5	Signed: /	Signature esiding Juror			
6					
7 8	Dated: Sept	ember 15, 20	22		
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
			21 IPROPOSEDIJI	JOGMENT	
			IPROPOSEDJII EXHIPST "1"		Page 22 of 25

Case 2:2	23-ap-01147-SK	Doc 10 Main Doc		Entered 05/19/23 16:16 40 of 78	:03 Desc	
Case 2	23-bk-10990-SK	Claim 11	Filed 04/04/23 61	Desc Main Document	Page 27 of	
			OT			
Ĺ	It appearing	g by reason of	said special verdict	that Plaintiff Erica Vago is	entitled to	
2	judgment against D	Defendants Le	slie Klein and Lesli	e Klein & Associates.		
3	NOW THE	REFORE, IT	IS ORDERED, AD	JUDGED AND DECREED	that said Erica	
4	Vago shall have an	d recover from	n Defendants, joint	ly and severally:		
5	1. Con	npensatory da	mages in the sum o	f \$8,300,000;		
6	2. Prej	udgment inter	rest at the rate of 7	(seven) percent in the amoun	t of	
7	\$7,3	334,038.99;				
8	3. Pun	itive damages	in the sum of \$8,3	00,000,		
9	4. And	l interest there	on at the rate of ter	percent per annum from the	date of the	
10	verdict until paid to	ogether with o	costs and disbursem	ents.		
11						
12				l verdict that Plaintiff Joseph	Vago is entitled	
13	1			eslie Klein & Associates.		
14	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Joseph					
15	Vago shall have and recover from Defendants, jointly and severally:					
16			otional distress			
17	1			n percent per annum from the	date of the	
18	verdict until paid t	ogether with o	costs and disbursen	nents.		
19				a a control and account	11.	
20		mount of the j	judgment against D	efendants jointly and several	ly is	
21	<u>\$24,334,038.99</u> .		ß	Work of the		
22	12/02	/2022		Terry G	reen	
23	Dated:	70000		Terry Green / J	udge	
24				Hon. Terry Green		
25 26				Judge of the Superior Cour	ι	
27						
28						
20						
			E ኒኖቡው(ቀ ታፋህ) J 114	UDGMENT	Page 23 of 25	
	II .		• • •			

Main Document Page 41 of 78 Case 2 23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 28 of PROCEL LAW, PC DATED: November 15, 2022 By: BRIAN PROCEL Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOSEPH VAGO and ERICA VAGO [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT EXHIBIT "I" Page 24 of 25

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc

Case 2:2	23-ap-01147-SK	Doc 10 I Main Doc		Entero 42 of 7	ed 05/19/23 16:16 8	:03 Desc
Case 2	Case 2;23-bk-10990-SK		Filed 04/04/23 61	Desc	: Main Document	Page 29 of
1 2						
3			PROOF OF S	ERVIC	<u>CE</u>	
4	STATE OF CALU	ORNIA, CO	OUNTY OF LOS	ANGEI	LES	
5 ⁶	At the time of employed in the Col Boulevard, 12 th Floo	inty of Los A	Angeles, State of Ca	alifornia	d not a party to this a . My business addres	ction. I am ss 40 1 Wilshire
7	On Novemb	er 15, 2022, l	served true copies	of the f	following document(s) des cribed as:
8	[PROPOS	ED] JUDG	MENT ON SPEC	IAL VE	RDICT	
9	on the interested par	ties in this ac	ction as follows:			
10			SERVICE	LIST		
11	Jeffrey A. S LAW OFF	Slott CES OF JEF	FREY A. SLOTT,	APC	Attorneys for Defend	
12	Encino, CA				LESLIE KLEIN and LES KLEIN & ASS	
13	Telephone: Facsimile:	(818) 995	5-0955			
14	Email:	jslott@ac	ol.com			
15 16 17	Liobnpark@procel-la	w.com to the in a reasonal	e person(s) at the e- ble time after the tr	mail ad	o be sent from e-mail dress(es) listed in the sion, any electronic m	Service List. I
18 : 19 :	l declare und foregoing is true and		f perjury under the	laws of	the State of Californi	a that the
20	Executed on	November I	5, 2022, at Santa M	/lonica,	California.	
21				/s/ Bris	ın Procel	
22			Br	rian Pro		
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
			4			
			EXAMBOSED] JU 116	UDGMEì	NT F	Page 25 of 25

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 43 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 30 of 61

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 44 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 31 of 61







20221178779

Pages: 0004

Recorded/Filed in Official Records Recorder's Office, Los Angeles County, California

12/16/22 AT 02:57PM

PAID:	114.00
SB2:	75.00
OTHER:	0.00
TAXES:	0.00
FEES:	39.00



LEADSHEET



202212160200053

00023052005



013828596

SEQ:

SECURE - Daily



THIS FORM IS NOT TO BE DUPLICATED

E13-20221215

EXHIBIT "2"

Page 1 of 31

	Main Docum	ent Page 45 of 7	'8 <u> </u>	
R BHERRENCE RECORDING REQUESTED BY	ONTAY FII	led 240 42 2 1.4 5 61	Man7 b7c 9 nent	Page 32 of
Procel Law, PC		1		
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL DOCUMENT TO:				
NAME Brian Procel				
STREET ADDRESS Procel Law, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th F	loor	·		
CITY STATE & ZIP CODE		1		
Santa Monica, CA 90401				
		SPACE ABOVE	FOR RECORDER'S USE	ONLY
<u> </u>	Ti	tract of Judgment		4.0040
Pursuant to Senate Bill 2 – Building Hoseventy-five dollars (\$75.00) shall be permitted by law to be recorded, exceptransaction per parcel of real property (\$225.00).	aid at the time of I	recording of every real elements	t of recording fees, per	each single
Exempt from fee per GC 27388.1 (a) documentary transfer tax (DTT).	(2); recorded conc	currently "in connection w	rith" a transfer subject t	o the imposition of
Exempt from fee per GC 27388.1 (a) residential dwelling to an owner-occ	(2); recorded conc upler.	currently "in connection w	rith" a transfer of real pr	operty that is a
☐ Exempt from fee per GC 27388.1 (a)	(1); fee cap of \$225	5.00 reached.		
Exempt from the fee per GC 27388.1	(a) (1); not related	I to real property.		

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc

THIS COVER SHEET ADDED TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE SPACE FOR RECORDING INFORMATION (\$3.00 Additional Recording Fee Applies)

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK	Doc 10	Filed 05/	19/23	Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03	Desc
·	Main Do	cument	Page	46 of 78	

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 33 of

EJ-00)1	
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, address, and State Bar number). After recording, return to: Brian Procel (State Bar No. 218657) PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor Santa Monica, California 90401		
TEL NO.: (424) 788-4538 FAX NO. (optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): brian@procel-law.com X ATTORNEY X JUDGMENT ASSIGNEE FOR OF RECORD		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street		
MAILING ADDRESS		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS Angeles, CA 90024		
BRANCH NAME. Stanley Mosk Courthouse		FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY
PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL.		CASE NUMBER: 20STCV25050
DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL.		2001012000
ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL	Amended	FOR COURT USE ONLY
AND SMALL CLAIMS		
 The x judgment creditor assignee of recapplies for an abstract of judgment and represents the folion. Judgment debtor's 		
Name and last known address [Leslie Klein 322 N. June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004		
 b. Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: c. Social security no. [last 4 digits]: d. Summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment v 	x Unknown x Unknown	mailed to (name and address):
 d. Summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment v Leslie Klein 322 N. June Street, Los Angeles, CA 90004 	as portionally convocation	
Information on additional judgment debtors is shown on page 2.	shown on	
 Judgment creditor (name and address): Joseph Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC 	5. Original ab	estract recorded in this county:
401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor, Santa Monica, CA 90401	b. Instrument I	No.:
Date: December 14, 2022	.	12
Brian Procel (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE DE APPLICANT OR ATTORNEY)
6. Total amount of judgment as entered or last renewed: \$24,334,038.99	10An [execution lien attachment lien attachment lien lorsed on the judgment as follows:
7. All judgment creditors and debtors are listed on this abstra		
8. a. Judgment entered on (date): December 2, 2022		r of (name and address):
b. Renewal entered on (date):		
9. This judgment is an installment judgment.	11. A stay of e	nforcement has of been ordered by the court.
(SEAL) WWRYL	b be	een ordered by the court effective until
Sherri R. Carter Executive Officer / Clerk	اک، a، رین ۱۷ th	certify that this is a true and correct abstract of e judgment entered in this action.
This abstract issued on (d	ate): b. A	certified copy of the judgment is attached.
1 2/15/2022	Clerk, by M	. Nguyen , Deputy
Form Acopted for Mandatory Use ABSTRACT	OF JUDGMENT—C	

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California EJ-001 [Rev. July 1, 2014] ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 1 of 2 Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 488.480, 674, 700,190

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Main Document Page 47 of 78 Page 34 of Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Claim 11 Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK 61 COURT CASE NO .: PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL. 20STCV25050 DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL. NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT CREDITORS: 14. Judgment creditor (name and address): 13. Judgment creditor (name and address): Erica Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401 15. Continued on Attachment 15. INFORMATION ON ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT DEBTORS: Name and last known address 17. Name and last known address Les Klein & Associates, Inc. 1425 Ventura Blvd. Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Unknown x Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: X Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): 322 N. June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004 Name and last known address 19. Name and last known address 18. Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Unknown Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Continued on Attachment 20.

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 2 of 2

EJ-001 [Rev. July 1, 2014]

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 48 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 35 of 61







20230026369



Pages: 0004

Recorded/Filed in Official Records Recorder's Office, Los Angeles County, California

01/12/23 AT 03:35PM

FEES:	39.00
TAXES:	0.00
OTHER:	0.00
SB2:	75.00
PAID:	114.00



LEADSHEET



202301120110082

00023119645



013868897

SEQ:

SECURE - Daily



THIS FORM IS NOT TO BE DUPLICATED

E13-202301125070326

EXHIBIT "2"

Page 5 of 31

E548170

r reference c	Page 49 of 78 Philix Filed 240 42 3 0 0 24 6 13 6 9 nent	Page 36 of
RECORDING REQUESTED BY Procel Law, PC	61	
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL DOCUMENT TO:		
NAME Brian Procel		
STREET ADDRESS Procel Law, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floo	nr	
CHY STATE & APP CODE Santa Monica, CA 90401		
	SPACE ABOVE FOR RECORDER'S USE	ONLY
	Title of Document	
seventy-five dollars (\$75.00) shall be paid	es and Jobs Act (GC Code Section 27388.1), effective January 1 at the time of recording of every real estate instrument, paper, nose expressly exempted from payment of recording fees, per e he fee imposed by this section shall not exceed two hundred two	or notice required oi each single
Exempt from fee per GC 27388.1 (a) (2); documentary transfer tax (DTT).	recorded concurrently "in connection with" a transfer subject to	the imposition of
Exempt from fee per GC 27388.1 (a) (2); residential dwelling to an owner-occupie	recorded concurrently "in connection with" a transfer of real proef.	operty that is a
Exempt from fee per GC 27388.1 (a) (1);	fee cap of \$225.00 reached.	
Exempt from the fee per GC 27388.1 (a)	(1); not related to real property.	

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc

THIS COVER SHEET ADDED TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE SPACE FOR RECORDING INFORMATION (\$3.00 Additional Recording Fee Applies)

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 50 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 37 of 61

EJ-001			
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, address, and State Bar number): After recording, return to:			
Brian Procel (State Bar No. 218657) Martin Pritikin (State Bar No. 210845)			
PROCEL LAW, PC			
401 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor			
Santa Monica, California 90401 TEL NO.: (424) 788-4538			
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): brian@procel-law.com; marty@procel-law.com			
* ATTORNEY * JUDGMENT ASSIGNEE OF RECORD			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street			
MAILING ADDRESS:			
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90024			
BRANCH NAME Stanley Mosk Courthouse	<u></u>	FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY	
PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL.		CASE NUMBER: 20STCV25050	
DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL.		20310123000	
ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL AND SMALL CLAIMS	* Amended	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
The signee of record assignee of record	3		
applies for an abstract of judgment and represents the follow	ving:		
a. Judgment debtor's Name and last known address			
Leslie Klein			
322 N. June Street	1		
Los Angeles, CA 90004	1		
b. Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state:	w Unknown		
c. Social security no. [last 4 digits]: 6944	Unknown	mailed to (name and address):	
d. Summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment wa	s personally served o	r mailed to (name and address).	
Leslie Klein 322 N. June Street, Los Angeles, CA 90004			
and the state of t	4. 🙀 Informatio	n on additional judgment creditors is	
shown on page 2.	shown on		
Judgment creditor (name and address): Joseph Vago	5. S Original al		
c/o PROCEL LAW, PC	•	cember 16, 2022	
401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor, Santa Monica, CA 90401	 b. Instrument 	No.: 20221178779	
Date: January 11, 2023		2	
Brian Procel (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	<u> </u>	(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR ATTORNEY)	
 Total amount of judgment as entered or last renewed: \$24,334,038.99 	10. L An is en	execution lien attachment lie dorsed on the judgment as follows:	11
7. All judgment creditors and debtors are listed on this abstrac	t. a. Amour	nt: \$	
8. a. Judgment entered on (date): December 2, 2022	b. In favo	or of (name and address):	
b. Renewal entered on (date):			
9. This judgment is an installment judgment.	11. A stay of e	enforcement has	
(SEAL)		not been ordered by the court.	
THE ORY COM		peen ordered by the court effective until	
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of	f Court -	certify that this is a true and correct abstra	ct of
	t	he judgment entered in this action.	
This abstract issued on (dat	h T	A certified copy of the judgment is attached	
01/12/2023	Clerk, by	vl. Nguyen	Deputy

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California EJ-001 [Rev. July 1, 2014] ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 1 of 2 Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 488.480, 674, 700.190 Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 38 of

	61		
PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL.			COURT CASE NO
DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL.			20STCV2505O
NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ADDITIONAL	JUDGMENT CREDITOR	RS:	
 Judgment creditor (name and address): Erica Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401 	1	4. Judgmen	t creditor (name and address):
15. Continued on Attachment 15.			
INFORMATION ON ADDITIONAL JUDGMEN	T DEBTORS:		
16. Name and last known addres Les Klein & Associates, Inc. 1425 Ventura Blvd. Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Summons was personally served at or mail 322 N. June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004	₩ Unknown	Social se	Name and last known address cense no. [last 4 digits] and state: Unknown curity no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown s was personally served at or mailed to (address):
18. Name and last known addres	ss	19.	Name and last known address
Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Social security no. [last 4 digits]:	Unknown Unknown	Social se	cense no. [last 4 digits] and state: Unknown curlty no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown
Summons was personally served at or main and the served at or main and	led to (address):	Summons	s was personally served at or mailed to (address):

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK	Doc 10 Main Do	3
Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK RECORDING REQUESTED AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO Brian Procel PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401		Filed 04/04/28 collesstin/2/file attenuest, Orange 69nof 61 Hugh Nguyen, Clerk-Recorder ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **
	Abs	stract of Judgment
	(7	Title of Document)
at the time of recor required or permit exempted from pay per parcel or real pro	ding on ever ted by lave ment of recoperty. " from SB2	1(a)(1) "A fee of \$75 dollars shall be paid very real estate instrument, paper, or notice w to be recorded, except those expressly ecording fees, per each single transaction fee per GC 27388.1(a)(2); is a transfer
subject to th	e impositio	n of documentary transfer tax", or
concurrently	/ "in connec	fee per GC 27388.1(a)(2); recorded ction with" a transfer subject to the tary transfer tax", or
Exempt real proper owner-occu	ty that is a	fee per GC 27388.1(a)(2); is a transfer of residential dwelling to an
concurrentl	y "in conne	fee per GC 27388.1(a)(2); recorded ection with" a transfer of real property relling to an owner-occupier", or
☐ Exempt	from SB2	fee per GC 27388.1(a)(l); fee cap of \$225 reached"
Exempt property	from SB2	fee per GC 27388.1(a)(1); not related to real

Failure to include an exemption reason will result in the imposition of the SB2 Building Homes and Jobs Act Fee.

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 53 of 78

Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 40 of Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK 61 E.I.001

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California EJ-001 (Rev. July 1, 2014)		OF JUDGMENT SMALL CLAIM		Page 1 of 2 Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 488.480, 674, 700.190
5555	1 2/15/2022	Clerk, by		Deputy
	This abstract issued on (date		the judgment entered in the A certified copy of the judg	is action.
A STORY COMMENT	Sherri R. Carter Executive Officer / Clerk of	b. [been ordered by the court (date): x I certify that this is a true a	
[SEAL]]		not been ordered by the co	
b. Renewal entered on (a9. This judgment is an in	•		y of enforcement has	
	(date): December 2, 2022	D. III	12101 OF FRANCE ONE GOODS	
	debtors are listed on this abstrac	.	mount: \$ favor of <i>(name and addr</i> ess) <i>:</i>	
\$24,334,038.99	t as entered or last renewed:		An execution lien is endorsed on the judgment a	attachment lien s follows:
(TYPE OR PI	RINT NAME)	·	(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT O	
Date: December 14, 2022 Brian Procel			4-2	
401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Flo	oor, Santa Monica, CA 90401	b. Instrur	ment No.:	_
Joseph Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC		a. Date:		·
2. x Information on addit shown on page 2.3. Judgment creditor (name a	ional judgment debtors is	show	mation on additional judginerit in on page 2. hal abstract recorded in this co	
Leslie Klein 322 N. June Street, Lo	os Angeles, CA 90004		nation on additional judgment	
c. Social security no. [lasd. Summons or notice of	it 4 digits]: entry of sister-state judgment wa			dress):
b. Driver's license no. [las		X Unkno		
Los Angeles, CA 9000	*			
322 N. June Street	A			
	and last known address	\neg		
 The x judgment credite applies for an abstract of ju a. Judgment debtor's 	or assignee of recor adgment and represents the follow			
	OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL SMALL CLAIMS	Amended	FOR COUR	TUSE ONLY
DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN				
PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAG	O, ET AL.		CASE NUMBER: 20STCV25050	
BRANCH NAME Stanley Mosk Co	ourthouse		FOR RECORDER'S USE	ONLY
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles,	CA 90024			
STREET ADDRESS 111 North Hill MAILING ADDRESS:	-u00t			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COL				
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): brian@p X ATTORNEY X JUDGMENT FOR CREDITOR	rocel-law.com ASSIGNEE OF RECORD			
Santa Monica, California 9040 TEL NO.: (424) 788-4538 FAX	UT: NO. (optional):			
PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th	Floor			
After recording, return to: Brian Procel (State Bar No. 2)				
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTOR	NEY (Name, address, and State Bar number):	1		

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Main Document Page 54 of 78 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 41 of Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 61 COURT CASE NO .: PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL. 20STCV25050 DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL. NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT CREDITORS: 14. Judgment creditor (name and address): 13. Judgment creditor (name and address): Erica Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401 Continued on Attachment 15. INFORMATION ON ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT DEBTORS: Name and last known address Name and last known address 17. Les Klein & Associates, Inc. 1425 Ventura Blvd. Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Unknown x Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown x Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): 322 N. June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004 Name and last known address

Name and last known address

Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state:

Unknown
Social security no. [last 4 digits]:

Unknown
Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address):

19. Name and last known address

Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state:

Unknown
Social security no. [last 4 digits]:

Unknown
Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address):

20. Continued on Attachment 20.

EJ-001 [Rev. July 1, 2014]

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Page 55 of 78 Main Document Filed 04/04/Becordestan Washing a Description or a Reserve of the total of the contract of the Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Hugh Nguyen, Clerk-Recorder RECORDING REQUESTED AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO: 2023000009373 3:06 pm 01/12/23 Brian Procel PROCEL LAW, PC 227 NC-5 A03 3 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 6.00 20.00 0.000.0075.00 3.00 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401 THIS SPACE IS FOR RECORDERS USE ONLY

Amended Abstract of Judgment

(Title of Document)

Per Government Code 27388.1(a)(1) "A fee of \$75 dollars shall be paid at the time of recording on every real estate instrument, paper, or notice required or permitted by law to be recorded, except those expressly exempted from payment of recording fees, per each single transaction per parcel or real property."

Exempt from SB2 fee per GC 27388.1(a)(2); is a transfer subject to the imposition of documentary transfer tax", or
Exempt from SB2 fee per GC 27388.1(a)(2); recorded concurrently "in connection with" a transfer subject to the imposition of documentary transfer tax", or
Exempt from SB2 fee per GC 27388.1(a)(2); is a transfer of real property that is a residential dwelling to an owner-occupier", or
Exempt from SB2 fee per GC 27388.1(a)(2); recorded concurrently "in connection with" a transfer of real property that is a residential dwelling to an owner-occupier", or
Exempt from SB2 fee per GC 27388.1(a)(1); fee cap of \$225 reached"
Exempt from SB2 fee per GC 27388.1(a)(1); not related to real property

Failure to include an exemption reason will result in the imposition of the SB2 Building Homes and Jobs Act Fee.

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 56 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 43 of

	EJ-001	01		
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORN	EY (Name, address, and State Bar number):			
After recording, return to: Brian Procel (State Bar No. 21				
Martin Pritikin (State Bar No. 2	210845)			
PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Witshire Boulevard, 12th	Floor			
Santa Monica, California 9040)1			
	NO. (optional): ocel-law.com; marty@procel-law.com			
ATTORNEY # JUDGMENT	ASSIGNEE			
FOR CREDITOR	OF RECORD			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COU				
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill	Street			
MAILING ADDRESS:				
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles,	i			
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Co	urthouse		FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY	
PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO	D, ET AL.		CASE NUMBER:	
DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN,	ET AL.		20STCV25050	
ABSTRACT O	F JUDGMENT—CIVIL		FOR COURT USE ONLY	
AND S	MALL CLAIMS	* Amended	_	
1. The judgment credito	or assignee of record			
applies for an abstract of ju-	dgment and represents the follow	ing:		
a. Judgment debtor's	nd last known address			
Leslie Klein				
322 N. June Street		I		
Los Angeles, CA 9000	4			
		Unknown		
b. Driver's license no. [las		Unknown		
c. Social security no. [last	entry of sister-state judgment was		r mailed to (name and address):	
d. Summons or notice of Leslie Klein	entry of sister-state judgment was	personally derived a	,	
322 N. June Street, Lo	s Angeles, CA 90004			
2. Information on additi	onal judgment debtors is	4. Information	n on additional judgment creditors is page 2.	
shown on page 2. 3. Judgment creditor (name a.	nd address):		bstract recorded in this county:	
Joseph Vago		Orange		
c/o PROCEL LAW, PC	Canta Manian, CA 00404		cember 16, 2022	7
	or, Santa Monica, CA 90401	b. Instrument	No.: 2022000409986	
Date: January 11, 2023 Brian Procel			A	-2
(TYPE OR PE	INT NAME)	<u>r</u>	(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR ATTORNEY))
C. Tatalana at independent	as entered or last renewed:	10. An [execution lien attachme	nt lien
6. Total amount of judgment \$24,334,038.99	92 Ciliesed of least telloword		dorsed on the judgment as follows:	
7. All judgment creditors and	debtors are listed on this abstract	a. Amour		
8. a. Judgment entered on (date): December 2, 2022	b. In favo	or of (name and address):	
b. Renewal entered on (d	ate):			
9. This judgment is an ir	stallment judgment.		enforcement has	
(SEAL) SOBY			ot been ordered by the court. seen ordered by the court effective unt	til
okan Mill			date):	•••
2	David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of C	12. a. 🕶 🗥	certify that this is a true and correct al	bstract of
			ne judgment entered in this action.	ام م ما م
	This abstract issued on (date): b.	certified copy of the judgment is atta	CH O U.
	01/12/2023	Clerk by h	4 Nauren	Deputy

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California EJ-001 [Rev. July 1, 2014] ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL
AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 1 of 2 Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 488.480, 674, 700.190

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 57 of 78 Page 44 of Filed 04/04/23 **Desc Main Document** Claim 11 Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK 61 COURT CASE NO. PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL. 20STCV25050 DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL. NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT CREDITORS: 14. Judgment creditor (name and address): 13. Judgment creditor (name and address): Erica Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401 Continued on Attachment 15. INFORMATION ON ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT DEBTORS: Name and last known address 17. Name and last known address Les Klein & Associates, Inc. 1425 Ventura Blvd. Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Unknown ■ Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: ■ Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): 322 N. June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004 Name and last known address 19. Name and last known address 18. Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Unknown Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Continued on Attachment 20.

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 2 of 2

		Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc 58 of 78
Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim	11 Filed 04/04/23 61	Desc Ma000620220604784ge 45 of 12/16/2022 08:53 AM Fees: \$105.00 Page 1 of 3 Recorded in Official Records County of Riverside
PLEASE COMPLETE THIS INFORMATION RECORDING REQUESTED BY:		Peter Aldana Assessor-County Clerk-Re-corder
Procel Law, PC		"This document was electronically submitted
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO: AND MAIL TAX STATEMENTS TO: Brian Procel		to the County of Riverside for recording** Receipted by NORMA #248
Procel Law, PC		
401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor		
Santa Monica, CA 90401		
	Spr	ace above this line for recorder's use only
	Abstract of Judgm	ent
	Title of Documen	t
TRA:		
DTT:		
2018, a fee of seventy-five dollars (\$7 instrument, paper, or notice required of	5.00) shall be paid at the or permitted by law to be a ach single transaction per	recorded, except those expressly exempted r parcel of real property. The fee imposed by
This document is a	transfer that is subject to	the imposition of documentary transfer tax.
of documentary tran		ith a transfer that is subject to the imposition
This document is a occupier.	transfer of real property th	nat is a residential dwelling to an owner-
residential dwelling	recorded in connection wi lo an owner-occupier. e:	ith a transfer of real property that is a

THIS PAGE ADDED TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE SPACE FOR RECORDING INFORMATION (\$3.00 Additional Recording Fee Applies)

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 59 of 78

Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Dott Hier Pot 13 Of 3 Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK

EJ-00	<u>1</u>
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name. address, and State Bar number): After recording, return to Brian Procel (State Bar No. 218657)	
PROCEL LAW, PC	
401 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor Santa Monica, California 90401	
TEL NO.: (424) 788-4538 FAX NO. (optional):	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): brian@procel-law.com	
X ATTORNEY X JUDGMENT CREDITOR OF RECORD	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street	
MAILING ADDRESS:	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90024	
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse	FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY
PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL.	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL.	20STCV25050
ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL	FOR COURT USE ONLY
AND SMALL CLAIMS	Amended
 The x judgment creditor assignee of reco applies for an abstract of judgment and represents the folio a. Judgment debtor's 	
Name and last known address	_
Leslie Klein 322 N. June Street	
Los Angeles, CA 90004	
Los Angulos, OA 00004	
b. Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: c. Social security no. [last 4 digits]:	X Unknown X Unknown
Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was	
Leslie Klein 322 N. June Street, Los Angeles, CA 90004	,
Information on additional judgment debtors is shown on page 2.	4. X Information on additional judgment creditors is shown on page 2.
3. Judgment creditor (name and address):	5. Original abstract recorded in this county:
Joseph Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC	a Date:
401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor, Santa Monica, CA 90401	a. Date: b. Instrument No.:
Date: December 14, 2022	b. Institution vo.
Brian Procel	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR ATTORNEY)
Total amount of judgment as entered or last renewed: \$24,334,038.99	10. An execution lien attachment lien is endorsed on the judgment as follows:
7. All judgment creditors and debtors are listed on this abstract	ct. a. Amount: \$
8. a. Judgment entered on (date): December 2, 2022	b. In favor of (name and address):
b. Renewal entered on (date):	
9. This judgment is an installment judgment.	11. A stay of enforcement hasa. x not been ordered by the court.
(SEAL) WENTE COMPANY	b. been ordered by the court effective until (date):
Sherri R. Carter Executive Officer / Clerk of	
This abstract issued on (da	b A certified copy of the judgment is attached
12/15/2022	W-299/20
	Clerk, by M. Nguyen , Deputy
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use ABSTRACT	OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL Page 1 of 2 Code of Civil Procedure, \$5, 488, 480,

Judicial Council of California EJ-001 [Rev. July 1, 2014]

AND SMALL CLAIMS

674, 700, 190

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 60 of 78 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Pottangen 1504 Page 4907 of 3 Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 61 COURT CASE NO .: PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL. 20STCV2505O DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL. NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT CREDITORS: 14. Judgment creditor (name and address): Judgment creditor (name and address): Erica Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401 Continued on Attachment 15. INFORMATION ON ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT DEBTORS: Name and last known address 17. Name and last known address Les Klein & Associates, Inc. 1425 Ventura Blvd. Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: x Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: x Unknown Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): 322 N. June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004 Name and last known address 19. Name and last known address Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state:

Continued on Attachment 20.

EJ-001 [Rev. July 1, 2014]

Social security no. [last 4 digits]:

Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address):

18.

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT---CIVIL AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 2 of 2

Unknown

Unknown

Unknown

Unknown

Social security no. [last 4 digits]:

Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address):

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Main Document Page 61 of 78 Page 48 of Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 61 Electronically Recorded in Official Records San Bernardino County RECORDING REQUESTED BY Procel Law, PC AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL DOCUMENT TO: Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk **Brian Procel** NAME DOC# 2023-0009468 STREET ADDRESS 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Pages: 3 01/12/2023 Titles: 1 03:35 PM SAN Fees \$32.00 Santa Monica, CA 90401 \$ 0.00 **Taxes** CA SB2 Fee \$75.00 V0956 \$107.00 Total

SPACE ABOVE FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY

Abstract of Judgment

Title of Document

Pursuant to Assembly Bill 1466 - Restrictive Covenant (GC Code Section 27388.2), effective July 1, 2022, a fee of two dollars (\$2) for recording the first page of every instrument, paper, or notice required or permitted by law to be recorded per each single transaction per parcel of real property, except those expressly exempted from payment of recording fees, as authorized by each county's board of supervisors and in accordance with applicable constitutional requirements.

Pursuant to Senate Bill 2 - Building Homes and Jobs Act (GC Code Section 27388.1), effective January 1, 2018, a fee of seventy-five dollars (\$75.00) shall be paid at the time of recording of every real estate instrument, paper, or notice required or permitted by law to be recorded, except those expressly exempted from payment of recording fees, per each single transaction per parcel of real property. The fee imposed by this section shall not exceed two hundred twenty-five dollars (\$225.00).

Reason for Exemption:

Exempt from fee per GC 27388.1, recorded in connection with a transfer subject to the imposition of documentary transfer tax
(DTT), or
Exempt from fee per GC 27388.1, recorded in connection with a transfer of real property that is a residential dwelling to an
owner-occupier, or
Exempt from fee per GC 27388.1, recorded in connection with a transfer that was subject to documentary transfer tax which
was paid on document recorded previously on(date) as document numberof Official Records.
(Cap. \$225.00)
Exempt from fee per GC 27388.1, fee cap of \$225.00 reached, and/or
Exempt from fee per GC 27388.1, not related to real property

Failure to include an exemption reason will result in the imposition of the \$75.00 Building Homes and Jobs Act fee. Fees collected are deposited to the State and may not be available for refund.

THIS COVER SHEET ADDED TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE SPACE FOR RECORDING INFORMATION (\$3.00 Additional Recording Fee Applies)

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 62 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc MainDDockgoent00094a.ger4@ of 3

	EJ-001	01		
After recording, return to: Brian Procel (State Bar No. 2 Martin Pritikin (State Bar No. PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Santa Monica, California 904 TEL No.: (424) 788-4538 FAX	210845) Floor 01 NO (optional): occl-law.com; marty@procel-law.com			
STREET ADDRESS 111 North Hill	Street			
MAILING ADDRESS:				
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles,				
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Co	purthouse		FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY	
PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAG	O, ET AL.		CASE NUMBER:	
DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN	, ET AL.		20STCV25050	
	OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL	Amended	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
a. Judgment debtor's Name a Leslie Klein 322 N. June Street Los Angeles, CA 9000 b. Driver's license no. [las c. Social security no. [las d. Summons or notice of Leslie Klein 322 N. June Street, Lo 2. Information on additi shown on page 2. 3. Judgment creditor (name a Joseph Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC	and last known address 4 st 4 digits] and state: t 4 digits]: 6944 entry of sister-state judgment was a Angeles, CA 90004 onal judgment debtors is and address): bor, Santa Monica, CA 90401	W Unknown Unknown Unknown s personally served of	on on additional judgment creditors is page 2. bstract recorded in this county:	
	as entered or last renewed:	10An	execution lien attachment lien	
\$24,334,038.99	debtors are listed on this abstract.		dorsed on the judgment as follows: nt: \$	
. •		•	or of (name and address):	
a. Judgment entered on (db. Renewal entered on (d	date): December 2, 2022 ate):			
9. This judgment is an ir	nstallment judgment.	•	enforcement has	
ISEAL) WORVEL	David W Stayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of	b to	not been ordered by the court. Deen ordered by the court effective until date): Certify that this is a true and correct abstract of the judgment entered in this action. A certified copy of the judgment is attached.	
	This abstract issued on (date): b #	t oortined copy of the judgment is attached.	
18 . 55TE	01/12/2023	Clerk, by	M. Nguyen, Deputy	,
	ABOTELOTO		Page 1 n	-62

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use
Judicial Council of California
EJ-001 [Rev. July 1, 2014]

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL
AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 1 of 2 Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 488.480 674, 700.190

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document 1000 94 Eager 50 ep 6 of 3 61 PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL. COURT CASE NO. : DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL. 20STCV2505 0 NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT CREDITORS: 13. Judgment creditor (name and address): 14. Judgment creditor (name and address): Erica Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401 Continued on Attachment 15. INFORMATION ON ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT DEBTORS: Name and last known address 17. Name and last known address Les Klein & Associates, Inc. 1425 Ventura Blvd. Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: ■ Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: ■ Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): 322 N. June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004 18. Name and last known address 19. Name and last known address Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Continued on Attachment 20. EJ-001 [Rev. July 1, 2014] ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL Page 2 of 2

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc

Page 63 of 78

Main Document

AND SMALL CLAIMS

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 64 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11
PLEASE COMPLETE THIS INFORMATION.

RECORDING REQUESTED BY: Procel Law, PC

AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO: Brian Procel PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401 Filed 04/04/23

Desc Main Document

Page 51 of

DOC# 2023-00O9943

Jan 12, 2023 03:06 PM
OFFICIAL RECORDS
JORDAN Z. MARKS,
SAN DIEGO COUNTY RE CORDER
FEES: \$95.00 (SB2 Atkin)s: \$75.00)

PAGES: 3

THIS SPACE FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY

Abstract of Judgment

(Please fill in document title(s) on this line)

THIS PAGE ADDED TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE SPACE FOR RECORDING INFORMATION (Additional recording fee applies)

9/95 Rec.Form #R25

EXHIBIT "2"

Page 21 of 31

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 65 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 52 of

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name. address, and State Bar number): After recording, return to: Brian Procel (State Bar No. 218657) Martin Pritikin (State Bar No. 210845) PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor Santa Monica, California 90401 TEL No.: (424) 788-4538	
MAILING ADDRESS:	
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse	FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY
PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL.	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL.	20STCV25050
ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL	FOR COURT USE ONLY
AND SMALL CLAIMS	Amended
1. The pjudgment creditor assignee of record applies for an abstract of judgment and represents the follows. Name and last known address Leslie Klein 322 N. June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004 b. Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: c. Social security no. [last 4 digits]: 6944	ving: # Unknown Unknown
 d. Summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was Leslie Klein 322 N. June Street, Los Angeles, CA 90004 	s personally served or mailed to (name and address):
2. x Information on additional judgment debtors is	4. Information on additional judgment creditors is shown on page 2.
shown on page 2. 3. Judgment creditor (name and address):	Original abstract recorded in this county:
Joseph Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC	a. Date:
401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor, Santa Monica, CA 90401	b. Instrument No.:
Date: January 11, 2023 Brian Procel	1 2
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR ATTORNEY)
6. Total amount of judgment as entered or last renewed: \$24,334,038.99	10. An execution lien attachment lien is endorsed on the judgment as follows:
7. All judgment creditors and debtors are listed on this abstract	a. Amount: \$ b. In favor of (name and address):
8. a. Judgment entered on (date): December 2, 2022b. Renewal entered on (date):	b. Thrown of plants and coursely
9. This judgment is an installment judgment.	11. A stay of enforcement hasa. not been ordered by the court.
David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of	b. been ordered by the court effective until (date): 12. a. I certify that this is a true and correct abstract of the judgment entered in this action.
This abstract issued on (date 01/12/2023	h A certified copy of the judgment is attached

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California EJ-001 [Rev. July 1, 2014] ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT-CIVIL
AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 1 of 2 Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 488.480, 674, 700.190

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 66 of 78 Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 **Desc Main Document** Page 53 of 61 PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL. COURT CASE NO DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL. 20STCV25050 NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT CREDITORS: 13. Judgment creditor (name and address): 14. Judgment creditor (name and address): Erica Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401 Continued on Attachment 15. INFORMATION ON ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT DEBTORS: Name and last known address 17. Name and last known address Les Klein & Associates, Inc. 1425 Ventura Blvd. Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: ★ Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: ■ Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): 322 N. June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004 18. Name and last known address 19. Name and last known address Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown Unknown Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Continued on Attachment 20.

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 F	iled (05/19/23	Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc
Main Docu			67 of 78
Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11	File	d 04/04/23 61	Bi ट्रीकुषुंत्र्य/तिहार शर्वात्राम् श्रिकृत्याम श्रिकृत्या Re िट्या 9 54 of County of Ventura County
PLEASE COMPLETE THIS INFORMATION	ļ		Mark A. Lunn Ventura County Clerk-Recorder
RECORDING REQUESTED BY:			DOC# 2022000116414
Procel Law, PC	_		12/16/2022 Titles: 1 Pages: 3
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:			10:52 AM
Brian Procel			Total Fees: \$137.00 CORRAE
Procel Law, PC	_		
401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor			
Santa Monica, CA 90401			
eRecorded by CSC/Ingeo			LIEN NOTICE MAILED
At	bstra	ct of Judgi	ment
		cument title(s)	
The document to which this page imposed by the Building Homes &			nade a part of is exempt from the fee 2-2017) (GC 27388.1)
Reason for exemption:			
Not related to real property	/ - GC	C 27388.1 (a	a) (1)
Recorded concurrently "in	conn	ection with'	" a transfer subject to
the imposition of Documen			· And Andrews
Transfer of real property that			
7		•	n connection with" a transfer of real owner-occupier - GC 27388.1 (a) (2)
Maximum \$225.00 fee per to related to the same parties a			ned (presented concurrently and are ty) - GC 27388.1 (a) (1)

THIS PAGE ADDED TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE SPACE FOR RECORDING INFORMATION (Additional recording fee applies)

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 68 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main @co#202200011Fange 55gaf 2 of 3 61

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, address, and State Bar number): After recording, return to: Brian Procel (State Bar No. 218657) PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor Santa Monica, California 90401 TEL No.: (424) 788-4538 FAX No. (optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): brian@procel-law.com X ATTORNEY X JUDGMENT ASSIGNEE			
FOR CREDITOR OF RECORD			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street			
MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90024			
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse		500 0500 DDCD 105 015 015 015 015 015 015 015 015 015	,
		FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY	
PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL.		CASE NUMBER: 20STCV25050	
DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL.			
ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL AND SMALL CLAIMS	Amended	FOR COURT USE	ONLY
 The x judgment creditor assignee of record applies for an abstract of judgment and represents the follow a. Judgment debtor's 			
Name and last known address			
322 N. June Street Lien Notice Mailed to	Debtor at		
Los Angeles, CA 90004 address shown. Gov	t Code 27297.5		
h. Discord license no Best 4 digital and state			
b. Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state:c. Social security no. [last 4 digits]:	X Unknown		
d. Summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was		mailed to (name and addres	s):
Leslie Klein		•	•
322 N. June Street, Los Angeles, CA 90004	. —		
2 x Information on additional judgment debtors is shown on page 2.	4. X Information shown on	n on additional judgment cred page 2	IITOFS IS
3. Judgment creditor (name and address):		stract recorded in this county	:
Joseph Vago			
c/o PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor, Santa Monica, CA 90401	a. Date: b. Instrument f	No:	
Date: December 14, 2022	b. Histroment	10.	
Brian Procel		4/-	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR ATT	ORNEY)
 Total amount of judgment as entered or last renewed: \$24,334,038.99 	10. An is end	execution lien at orsed on the judgment as foll	tachment lien ows:
7. All judgment creditors and debtors are listed on this abstract.			
8. a. Judgment entered on (date): December 2, 2022	b. In favor	of (name and address):	
b. Renewal entered on (date):			
This judgment is an installment judgment.	11. A stay of er		
(SEAL) THURY!	b be	of been ordered by the court. The ordered by the court effect The ordered by the court	tive until
Sherri R. Carter Executive Officer / Clerk of C	court 12. a. X Ic	ate): ertify that this is a true and co e judgment entered in this act	
This abstract issued on (date)		certified copy of the judgmen	
12/15/2022		Name	
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use		. Nguyen	, Deputy

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California EJ-001 (Rev. July 1, 2014) ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 1 of 2 Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 488.480, 674, 700.190

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 69 of 78 Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main @00#202200011Fattye 56gef 3 of 3 61 PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL. COURT CASE NO. : 20STCV2505Q DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL. NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT CREDITORS: 13. Judgment creditor (name and address): 14. Judgment creditor (name and address): Erica Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401 Continued on Attachment 15. INFORMATION ON ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT DEBTORS: Name and last known address 17. Name and last known address Les Klein & Associates, Inc. 1425 Ventura Blvd. Lien Notice Mailed to Debtor at Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 address shown. Govt Code 27297.5 Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: x Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Social security no. [last 4 digits]: X Unknown Unknown Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): 322 N. June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004 18. Name and last known address 19. Name and last known address Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Continued on Attachment 20.

EJ-001 [Rev. July 1, 2014]

Case 2:	23-ap-01147-SK	Doc 10 F Main Docu			Entered 05/19/23 16:16 '0 of 78	:03 Desc		
Case	2:23-bk-10990-SK	Claim 11	File		Desc Main Document	Page 57 of		
PLEASE COMPLETE THIS INFORMATION RECORDING REQUESTED BY: Procel Law, PC				61 Electronically Recorded in Official Records County of Ventura County				
				Michelle Ascencion Ventura County Clerk-Recorder DOC# 202300002104				
								AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO: Brian Procel
Procel Law, PC				Total Fees: \$137.00 HERND				
401 Wilshire E	Blvd., 12th Floor		_					
Santa Monica	, CA 90401		_					
eRecorded by CSC	<u> Mageo</u>	A	ا ۸ است.		NOTICE MAILE	D		
_				ument title(s)				
					ide a part of is exempt fron -2017) (GC 27388.1)	n the fee		
	Reason for exempti	on:						
	Not related to	real property	/ - G C	27388.1 (a)	(1)			
					a transfer subject to - GC 27388.1 (a) (2)			
	owner-occupi property that	er <i>or</i> recorded is a residentia	d cond l dwe	currently "in lling to an o	dwelling to an connection with" a transfewner-occupier - GC 27388	.1 (a) (2)		
					ed (presented concurrently c) - GC 27388.1 (a) (1)	and are		

THIS PAGE ADDED TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE SPACE FOR RECORDING INFORMATION (Additional recording fee applies)

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 71 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main @to#2023000002 about 198 gaf 2 of 3

EJ-00	1	
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, address, and State Bar number): After recording, return to:		
Brian Procel (State Bar No. 218657)		
Martin Pritikin (State Bar No. 210845)		
PROCEL LAW, PC		
401 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor Santa Monica, California 90401		
TEL NO.: (424) 788-4538 FAX NO. (optional):		
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): brian@procel-law.com; marty@procel-law.com	m	
ATTORNEY N JUDGMENT ASSIGNEE		
FOR CREDITOR OF RECORD		
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street		
MAILING ADDRESS:		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90024		
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse		FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY
PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL.		CASE NUMBER
DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL.		20STCV25050
ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL		PAR ANIBY LINE ONLY
AND SMALL CLAIMS	× Amended	FOR COURT USE ONLY
1. The x judgment creditor assignee of reco	rd	1
applies for an abstract of judgment and represents the follo		
Name and last known address		
Leslie Klein		
322 N. June Street Lien Notice Mailed to Debtor a	•	
Los Angeles, CA 90004 address shown. Govt Code 27	['] 297.5	
		İ
b. Driver's license no. [tast 4 digits] and state:	* Unknown	
c. Social security no. [last 4 digits]: 6944	Unknown	
d. Summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment wa	as personally served o	r mailed to (name and address):
Leslie Klein		
322 N. June Street, Los Angeles, CA 90004		
2. Information on additional judgment debtors is	4. Informatio	n on additional judgment creditors is
shown on page 2.	shown on	(*) -
3. Judgment creditor (name and address):		ostract recorded in this county:
Joseph Vago	Ventura	
c/o PROCEL LAW, PC	a. Date: Dec	ember 16, 2022
401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor, Santa Monica, CA 90401		No.: 2022000116414
Date: January 11, 2023		
Brian Procel		M
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR ATTOMEY)
6. Total amount of judgment as entered or last renewed: \$24,334,038.99	10An [execution lien attachment lien
		lorsed on the judgment as follows:
7. All judgment creditors and debtors are listed on this abstrac	••	r of (name and address):
8. a. Judgment entered on <i>(date):</i> December 2, 2022	D. III Javo	or (name and address).
b. Renewal entered on (date):		
9. This judgment is an installment judgment.	11. A stay of e	
ISEAL) NORYE		ot been ordered by the court.
Contract of the second		een ordered by the court effective until
	Visite Control of the	fate):
		certify that this is a true and correct abstract of
E WILL TO S	L .	e judgment entered in this action. certified copy of the judgment is attached.
This abstract issued on (date	7.	
01/12/2023		W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court
	Clerk, by	B. Portier Deputy
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use ABSTRACT C	F JUDGMENT—CI	VIL Page 1 of 2

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California EJ-001 [Rev. July 1, 2014] ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL
AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 1 of 2 Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 488.480, 674, 700.190

Main Document Page 72 of 78 Filed 04/04/23 Claim 11 Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK 61 COURT CASE NO.: PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH VAGO, ET AL. 20STCV25050 DEFENDANT: LESLIE KLEIN, ET AL. NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT CREDITORS: 14. Judgment creditor (name and address). 13. Judgment creditor (name and address): Erica Vago c/o PROCEL LAW, PC 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Santa Monica, CA 90401 Continued on Attachment 15. INFORMATION ON ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT DEBTORS: Name and last known address 17. Name and last known address Les Klein & Associates, Inc. 1425 Ventura Blvd. Lien Notice Mailed to Debtor at Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 address shown. Govt Code,27297.5 Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Unknown ■ Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): 322 N. June Street Los Angeles, CA 90004 Name and last known address Name and last known address 19. 18. Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]:] Unknown Unknown Social security no. [last 4 digits]: Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Summons was personally served at or mailed to (address): Continued on Attachment 20.

Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 2 of 2

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK

NOCOTAON

Į,

רמידיר אדוז מברדבר מד א חד מרפור *ב*

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Office of the Secretary of State NOTICE OF JUDGMENT LIEN (JL 1)

California Secretary of State 1500 11th Street Sacramento, California 95814 (916) 653-3516

For Office Use Only

-FILED-

File No.: U230002837926 Date Filed: 1/12/2023

Submitter Information:

Contact Name

Organization Name

Phone Number

Email Address

Address

brian procel

procel law, PC

(424) 788-4538

brian@procel-law.com

400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD

12TH FLOOR

SANTA MONICA, CA 90401

Judgment Debtor Information:

Judgment Debtor Name	Mailing Address		
leslie klein	322 n. june street los angeles, CA 90004		
leslie klein & associates	14245 ventura boulevard suite 301 sherman oaks, CA 91423		

Judgment Creditor Information:

Judgment Creditor Name	Mailing Address
erica vago	124 n. highland avenue los angeles, CA 90036
joseph vago	124 n. highland avenue los angeles, CA 90036

Judgment Information:

A. Name of Court Where Judgment Was Entered

Los Angeles superior court

B. Title of the Action

vago v. klein 20STCV25050

C. Case Number

D. Date Judgment Was Entered

12/02/2022

E. Date(s) of Subsequent Renewal of Judgment (if any)

None Entered

F. Date of This Notice

01/12/2023

G. Amount Required to Satisfy Judgment at This Date of

\$24,334,038.99

Notice

All property subject to enforcement of a Money Judgment against the Judgment Debtor to which a Judgment Lien on personal property may attach under Section 697.530 of the Code of Civil Procedure is subject to this Judgment Lien.

Declaration and Signature:

Declaration:

I am representing the legal firm that is the Attorney of

Record for the Judgment Creditor.

Organization Name:

Procel Law, PC

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXHIBIT "2"

Page 30 of 31

ALPIG TO ATTIVITY OF THE TATE WAS IN TACE TO TATE OF TATE OF TATE OF THE PERCENT

Case 2:23-ap-01147-SK Doc 10 Filed 05/19/23 Entered 05/19/23 16:16:03 Desc Main Document Page 74 of 78

Case 2:23-bk-10990-SK Claim 11 Filed 04/04/23 Desc Main Document Page 61 of 61

Brian procel 01/12/2023
Sign Here Date

Page 31 of 31 Page 2 of

EARLY MEETING OF COUNSEL, JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STATUS CONFERENCE INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. A copy of these instructions must be attached to the copy of the complaint served upon each party, and the proof of service of the summons and complaint must indicate that such copy was served therewith.
- 2. If the adversary proceeding involves money or property exceeding \$10,000, or if plaintiff believes trial time will exceed 4 hours, plaintiff must serve, with the summons and complaint, a notice that compliance with Local Bankruptcy Rule 7026-1 and Federal Bankruptcy Procedure Rule 7026 is required. Plaintiff must also file a proof of service of the notice together with the proof of service of the summons and the complaint.
- 3. If Local Bankruptcy Rule 7026-1 is applicable, counsel for the parties MUST TIMELY MEET TO DISCUSS SETTLEMENT AND TO EXCHANGE DOCUMENTS, OTHER EVIDENCE, AND LISTS OF WITNESSES, AND PRELIMINARY DISCOVERY SCHEDULES AS PROVIDED IN SAID RULE. FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26(D DOES NOT APPLY TO THIS PROCEEDING.
- 4. Unless all defendants have defaulted, the parties **must** file a Joint Status Report pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 7016-1(a)(2) at least 14 court days before the date of the status conference using Local Form No. F 7016-1.1. This form may be found on the Court's website, www.cacb.uscourts.gov, by clicking on "Forms/Rules/General Orders," then "Local Bankruptcy Rules & Forms." and scrolling down to F 7016-1.1. If Local Bankruptcy Rule 7026-1 is applicable, the parties shall include in the Joint Status_Report a statement that they have met to discuss settlement and have exchanged documents, other evidence. lists of witnesses and preliminary discovery schedules.
- 5. If no response to the complaint is timely filed, plaintiff may request entry of default by the clerk or by the court pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 7055-1(a). Plaintiff may also request entry of a default judgment by filing and serving an appropriate motion pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 7055-1(b). These motions may be brought pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1.
- 6. If the parties dispute whether the adversary proceeding is "core" or "non-core," they must file points and authorities in support of their positions. See 28 U.S.C. § 157. Any party that contends the proceeding is "non-core" must file and serve its points and authorities at least 14 days before the status conference. Any response must be filed and served at least 7 days before the status conference.
- 7. Unless a party objects in writing in the first Joint Status Report or the court orders otherwise, direct testimony at trial will be presented by declaration.
- 8. Failure to comply with these instructions may subject the responsible party to sanctions.

- Casse 2:223 app 01111477-SHK Door: 190 FFiled 0257/1179/223 Eintered 0257/1179/223 1146 1146 2073 Diessic Malair Dioor: 190 FFIled 0257/1179/223 1146 1146 2073 Diessic Piloge 7: 28
 - 9. At the initial status conference a date may be set for further status conference, a pre-trial conference and/or for trial.
 - 10. Failure of counsel for any party to appear at a status conference or pre-trial conference may be considered an abandonment and the adversary proceeding may be dismissed or judgment entered against the defaulting party, without further hearing.

Sandra R. Klein United States Bankruptcy Judge

FREE LEGAL HELP

A creditor has sued you in bankruptcy court. You were served with a Summons and Complaint, and you must now file an Answer. If you do not file an Answer on time, the court may enter a default judgment against you. This means that your debt may not be discharged and you will be responsible for paying it back.

IF YOU CAN NOT AFFORD AN ATTORNEY, FREE LEGAL HELP MAY BE AVAILABLE.

For more information, call:

Public Counsel's

Debtor Assistance Project Hotline

(213) 385-2977, ext. 704



THE PUBLIC INTEREST LAW OFFICE OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND BEVERLY HILLS BAR ASSOCIATIONS

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 9454 Wilshire Boulevard, 6th floor Beverly Hills, CA 90212

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (specify): __SUMMONS AND NOTICE OF STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING [LBR 7004-1] a WITH A COPY OF INSTRUCTIONS OF EARLY MEETING COUNSEL, JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STATUS CONFERENCE INSTRUCTIONS will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below: 1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below: Goe Forsythe & Hodges:: Reem J Bello rbello@goeforlaw.com, kmurphy@goeforlaw.com Plaintiff's Counsel: Michael Jay Berger michael.berger@bankruptcypower.com, yathida.nipha@bankruptcypower.com;michael.berger@ecf.inforuptcy.com Goe Forsythe & Hodges: Robert P Goe kmurphy@goeforlaw.com, rgoe@goeforlaw.com;goeforecf@gmail.com United States Trustee (LA) ustpregion16.la.ecf@usdoj.gov Service information continued on attached page 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL: _, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or 5/19/2023 adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed. Joseph Vago and Erica Vago (Plaintiffs) Joseph Vago and Erica Vago 124 N. Highland Ave c/o Brian A. Procel/Procel Law, PC Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 401 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Fl. Santa Monica, CA 90401 ☐ Service information continued on attached page 3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on __5/19/2023 __, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed. Honorable Sandra Klein United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Edward R. Roybal Federal Building and Courthouse 255 E. Temple Street, Suite 1582 / Courtroom 1575 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Service information continued on attached page I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. /s/Peter Garza Peter Garza 5/19/2023 Printed Name Signature Date This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California. F 7004-1.SUMMONS.ADV.PROC

Page 3

December 2016